

MRF Quality Report

s3://talon-storage-private/mrf-feed-uploads/2026-01/2026-01-01_OHC_in-network-rates_21.json.gz
Size: 561.92 KB • MD5: a6534617bd953cf94a5177b93a6c9ba9

Payer: **Ohio Health Choice** • File Date: **2025-12-14** • Generated: **2026-04-24 14:11 EDT** • Tool Version: **1.0.0** • Elapsed: **34.80s**

65.0

Limited Reliability

score capped at 65.0 — CMS official schema validation failed (see meta for details)

Errors: 3 • Warnings: 5 • Info: 2

TOC Plan References

TOC: s3://talon-storage-private/mrf-feed-uploads/2026-01/2026-01_plan_ref_1b01e3aa0690b3f8a5b2f985069b8105_index.json • Talon

Canonical: s3://talon-storage-private/mrf-feed-uploads/2026-01/2026-01-01_OHC_in-network-rates_21.json.gz

Plan Name	Plan ID	Issuer / Sponsor	Market
OHC-ClaimChoice	0123456789 (custom)	—	—

CMS Official Schema Validation

FAILED (exit code 1) — File does not conform to the CMS schema.

Validator output:

```
error Could not find a schema version named "1.0.0". Available versions are:  
v2.0.0  
v0.1  
v0.2  
v0.3  
v0.3.1  
v0.3.2  
v0.4.0  
v0.4.1  
v0.5.0  
v0.5.3  
v0.7.0  
v0.8.0  
v0.8.1  
v0.9.0  
v0.9.1  
v0.10.0  
v0.10.1  
v0.11.0  
v0.12.0  
v0.13.0  
v1.0.0  
v0.10.3  
v1.0.4  
v1.0.6  
v1.0.7  
v1.1.0  
v1.1.1  
v1.1.2  
v1.2.0  
v1.3.0  
v1.3.1  
v1.3.2  
v1.3.3  
v1.4.0  
v1.5.0  
v1.6.0  
v1.6.1  
v1.6.2  
v2.0.1  
v2.1.0  
v2.2.0  
v2.2.1
```

Dimension Scores

Dimension	Score	Weight	Findings
Schema Integrity	70.0	30%	2
Provider Mapping	100.0	15%	0
Code Coverage	70.0	15%	2
Pricing Sanity	87.1	40%	6

Schema Integrity — Findings

Score: 70.0

ERROR `file_freshness`

File is 131 days old (last_updated_on exceeds the 90-day threshold)

ERROR `cms_schema_validation`

CMS official schema validator FAILED (exit code 1). File does not conform to the TIC in-network-rates schema.

Code Coverage — Findings

Score: 70.0

WARNING `billing_code_format`

1869 CPT codes do not match expected format

WARNING `duplicate_billing_codes`

3241 billing codes appear in more than one `in_network` item (75.0%)

Pricing Sanity — Findings

Score: 87.1

INFO per_diem_rates

385 per-diem rates (1.3%) — not dollar amounts; excluded from spread analysis

INFO percentage_rates

1169 percentage rates (4.0%) — values represent % of a reference rate, not dollar amounts; excluded from spread analysis

ERROR zero_rates

13 zero-dollar rates (0.05%) — CMS schema requires negotiated_rate > 0 (exclusiveMinimum)

WARNING rate_spread_by_class

billing_class='professional' / negotiated_type='fee schedule': P95/P50 spread is 11.0x (threshold: 5x, N=21,627 (1,000 sampled), high confidence)

WARNING rate_spread_by_class

billing_class='institutional' / negotiated_type='fee schedule': P95/P50 spread is 12.6x (threshold: 10x, N=319, moderate confidence)

WARNING per_code_rate_spread

3 rate contexts have a max/min ratio exceeding the type-specific threshold (20x professional / 50x facility, min 3 occurrences required). Each context is a unique combination of all 10 rate-key dimensions. n= shows how many distinct provider rates exist for that exact context.

Code	Code Type	Neg. Type	Billing Class	Arrangement	Setting	Min	Median	Mean	Max	Ratio	n
E0601	CPT	fee schedule	professional	ffs	—	\$37.04	\$58.95	\$192.72	\$1103.65	29.8x	8
E0570	CPT	fee schedule	professional	ffs	—	\$6.38	\$6.95	\$44.85	\$159.12	24.9x	4
92587	CPT	fee schedule	professional	ffs	—	\$2.69	\$3.28	\$14.82	\$61.19	22.7x	5

Recommended Actions

1. **schema** file_freshness

P1

File is 131 days old (last_updated_on exceeds the 90-day threshold)

2. **schema** cms_schema_validation

P1

CMS official schema validator FAILED (exit code 1). File does not conform to the TIC in-network-rates schema.

	<p>3. pricing zero_rates P1</p> <p>13 zero-dollar rates (0.05%) — CMS schema requires negotiated_rate > 0 (exclusiveMinimum)</p>
	<p>4. pricing rate_spread_by_class P2</p> <p>billing_class='professional' / negotiated_type='fee schedule': P95/P50 spread is 11.0x (threshold: 5x, N=21,627 (1,000 sampled), high confidence)</p>
	<p>5. pricing rate_spread_by_class P2</p> <p>billing_class='institutional' / negotiated_type='fee schedule': P95/P50 spread is 12.6x (threshold: 10x, N=319, moderate confidence)</p>
	<p>6. pricing per_code_rate_spread P2</p> <p>3 rate contexts have a max/min ratio exceeding the type-specific threshold (20x professional / 50x facility, min 3 occurrences required). Each context is a unique combination of all 10 rate-key dimensions. n= shows how many distinct provider rates exist for that exact context.</p>
	<p>7. code_coverage billing_code_format P3</p> <p>1869 CPT codes do not match expected format</p>
	<p>8. code_coverage duplicate_billing_codes P3</p> <p>3241 billing codes appear in more than one in_network item (75.0%)</p>

Provider Geographic Coverage

0 unique NPIs found — 0 geocoded (0%) — 0 zip codes represented.

Schema Integrity — Metrics

header_missing_fields	
header_conditional_issues	
file_age_days	131

items_total	28035	
items_missing_required_pct	0.0	
items_empty_rates	0	
prices_total	29141	
prices_missing_required_pct	0.0	
prices_missing_field_breakdown		
prices_missing_service_code	0	
prices_invalid_billing_class	0	
rates_without_providers	0	
negotiation_arrangements	ffs	28035
billing_code_types	CPT	23549
	CSTM-ALL	605
	MS-DRG	3850
	RC	31
expired_prices	0	
invalid_expiration_format	0	

Provider Mapping — Metrics

provider_references_in_file	594
provider_group_ids_referenced	594
unresolved_references	0
resolution_rate_pct	100.0
npis_validated	0
invalid_npi_count	0
npi_validity_rate_pct	None
invalid_npi_examples	
eins_validated	0
invalid_ein_count	0
ein_validity_rate_pct	None
invalid_ein_examples	

empty_npi_groups	0
groups_without_tin	0
npi_in_multiple_groups	0

Code Coverage — Metrics

unique_codes_total	4323	
duplicate_codes	3241	
duplicate_pct	74.97	
by_code_type	CPT	3551
	CSTM-ALL	1
	MS-DRG	770
	RC	1
unknown_code_types		
format_invalid_by_type	CPT	1869
codes_not_in_reference	reference_not_loaded	

most_frequent_codes	Type	Code	Occurrences
	CSTM-ALL	CSTM-00	605
	CPT	73630	126
	CPT	73610	108
	CPT	99214	101
	CPT	99213	98
	CPT	99395	94
	CPT	73110	93
	CPT	99203	92
	CPT	73030	90
	CPT	73130	87
	CPT	73562	87
	CPT	73564	87
	CPT	99204	86
	CPT	71046	84
	CPT	99212	84
	CPT	99396	84
	CPT	73502	82
	CPT	73140	81
	CPT	76830	78
	CPT	99215	77

Pricing Sanity — Metrics

total_prices_checked	29141
total_rates	27587
per_diem_rates	385
percentage_rates	1169
negative_rates	0
zero_rates	13
extreme_high_rates	20
extreme_low_rates	0

rate_distribution	sample_n	27587
	sample_k	5000
	confidence	high
	p5	9.08445
	p25	41.436
	p50	120.92500000000001
	p75	372.90375
	p95	16311.270000000006
	p99	42612.813000000004

by_billing_class	Class / Type	Count	Median	p25	p75	p95	Confidence
	professional/ negotiated	1,507	180.0	130.0	296.0	576.6	high
	professional/ fee schedule	21,627	80.0	33.2	193.4	883.6	high
	institutional/ negotiated	4,134	12018.5	7318.4	20609.7	47217.3	high
	institutional/ fee schedule	319	17.4	10.7	39.0	218.8	moderate

negotiated_types	negotiated	5641
	fee schedule	21946

unique_rate_contexts	43358
-----------------------------	-------

rate_key_dimension_validity	invalid_negotiated_type	0
	invalid_negotiated_types_seen	{}
	invalid_setting	0
	invalid_settings_seen	{}
	invalid_severity_of_illness	0
	severity_on_non_apr_drg	0
	institutional_with_service_codes	0
	invalid_service_code_format	0
	billing_code_modifier_too_long	0

Scoring Methodology

Embedded in this report at generation time.

Overall Score

Weighted sum of four structural dimensions, normalized to a 0–100 scale.

Normalized Weights		
	Schema Integrity	30%
	Provider Mapping	15%
	Code Coverage	15%
	Pricing Sanity	40%

Confidence Bands		
	High	≥90
	Usable With Caution	≥75
	Limited Reliability	≥60
	Not Usable	<60

Score Caps		
	Raw Json Errors Only → 74.0	Native JSON syntax errors in the unpatched source file. File must be re-exported by the payer; scoring reflects auto-patched data only.
	Cms Validation Failure Only → 65.0	CMS official schema validator reports the file does not conform to the TIC spec.
	Both Raw Json Errors And Cms Failure → 59.0	Both native JSON syntax errors and CMS schema validation failure present.

Rate Context Key — 14-Tuple Field Coverage

Every rate in a CMS TIC MRF file is described by a 14-field tuple. Fields 1–10 form the rate-context key used to group and compare rates across the system. Fields 11–12 (provider, expiration date) are validated separately and excluded from the grouping key for analytical reasons. Each of the four scoring dimensions validates a distinct slice of this tuple — together they cover all 14 fields.

Field	Validated by
1 billing_code_type	Schema (required field) + Code Coverage (enum + format validation)
2 billing_code_type_version	Schema (required field)
3 billing_code	Schema (required field) + Code Coverage (format, duplicates, reference lookup)
4 billing_code_modifier	Pricing (modifier length, key normalization)
5 service_code	Pricing (POS format, normalization, institutional-class check)
6 negotiated_type	Pricing (CMS TIC enum validation)
7 billing_class	Schema (CMS TIC enum validation) + Pricing (spread thresholds)
8 negotiation_arrangement	Schema (CMS TIC enum validation) + Pricing (FFS vs bundle/capitation gating)
9 severity_of_illness	Pricing (APR-DRG only, valid values 1–4)

Field	Validated by
10 setting	Pricing (CMS TIC enum validation)
11 provider (NPI/EIN)	Provider Mapping (Luhn checksum, IRS prefix, group resolution) — excluded from grouping key
12 expiration_date	Schema (date validity, far-future sanity) — excluded from grouping key
13 additional_generic_notes	not validated (free-text)
14 negotiated_rate	Pricing (negative/zero/extreme-value checks, spread analysis)

- Fields 1–10 are the grouping key. Each unique combination is a distinct rate context — rates with different modifiers, POS codes, or arrangements land in separate buckets and are never compared against each other.
- Provider (field 11) is excluded from the key: the spread check is cross-provider by design. Partitioning by provider produces singleton buckets and eliminates the spread signal.
- Expiration date (field 12) is excluded because it is a contract lifecycle attribute, not a clinical context. Rates for the same service should be comparable regardless of when they expire.
- service_code (field 5) arrays are flattened and normalized before keying: '1' → '01', and a rate with ['11','22'] contributes to both the '11' and '22' buckets so rates are compared apples-to-apples by place of service.

Schema Integrity

Validates required fields, enum values, conditional requirements, and date validity per the CMS TIC in-network-rates schema. Also checks file freshness and expiration date sanity.

Method: Penalty-based deductions from 100, capped per category.

per_missing_required_header_field	5
per_header_conditional_issue	2
freshness_warn	5
freshness_error	10
item_missing_fields_pct	×5 (cap 30)
empty_rates_pct	×0.5 (cap 5)
price_missing_fields_pct	×10 (cap 30)
rates_without_providers_rate	×200 (cap 20)
expired_prices_pct	×0.5 (cap 5)
file freshness warn days	45
file freshness error days	90
expiry far future years	3

Provider Mapping

Verifies that all provider_group_id references in in_network items resolve to an entry in the provider_references array. Validates NPI integrity via Luhn checksum and EIN integrity via IRS-issued 2-digit prefix.

Method: Weighted component sum (not purely penalty-based).

provider_resolution (60%)	$\text{resolution_rate\%} \times 0.60$
npi_validity (30%)	$(100 - \text{invalid_npi_pct} \times 5) \times 0.30$
ein_validity (10%)	$10 - (\text{invalid_ein_pct} \times 0.1)$ [0% invalid → 10 pts, 100% invalid → 0 pts, linear]

Code Coverage

Tracks every (billing_code_type, billing_code) pair and flags unrecognized CMS TIC code types, format violations for CPT/HCCPS/NDC, and duplicates (same code appearing in multiple in_network items).

Method: Penalty-based deductions from 100.

per_unknown_code_type	3 pts each (cap 20)
format_invalid_pct	$\times 0.5$ (cap 10)
duplicate_code_pct	$\times 2$ (cap 20)
codes_not_in_reference_pct	$\times 0.5$ (cap 30) — only when reference set is loaded

Pricing Sanity

Detects invalid rates (negative, zero, extreme-value) and distribution anomalies (per-class P95/P50 spread, per-code max/min ratio, flat-rate distributions). Exact counts are used for all validity checks (negative, zero, extreme, dimension validity). Percentile-based checks (spread, IQR) use reservoir sampling — $k=5\,000$ global, $k=1\,000$ per (billing_class, negotiated_type) bucket — so memory stays bounded on large files. Per-code max/min spread is exact (all rates seen, no sampling).

Method: Penalty-based deductions from 100.

negative_rate_pct	$\times 5$ (cap 20)
zero_rate_pct	$\times 3$ (cap 15)
extreme_rate_pct	$\times 5$ (cap 25) — ffs only
class_spread_excess	$(\text{spread} - \text{threshold}) \times 2$, max across (billing_class, negotiated_type) buckets (cap 15)
per_code_high_spread_count	$\times 0.1$ (cap 15)
invalid_negotiated_type_pct	$\times 3$ (cap 10) — rates silently dropped
invalid_setting_pct	$\times 1$ (cap 5) — silently defaults to wildcard
invalid_severity_pct	$\times 1$ (cap 5) — silently normalised to ''
institutional_with_service_codes_pct	$\times 1$ (cap 5) — extra key variation
invalid_service_code_pct	$\times 2$ (cap 5) — encode raises ValueError
extreme high by billing class	professional: 25000.0, institutional: 2000000.0, both: 2000000.0, default: 500000.0

extreme low	0.01
spread warn p95 over p50 by class	professional: 5, institutional: 10, both: 10, default: 5
per rate context max min ratio	professional_codes: 20, facility_drg_codes: 50
flat rate iqr p75 threshold pct	5.0
flat rate min rates to check	100
spread min n to flag	50
per code min n to flag	3

Dashboard: MRF Identity Key

(ingest-time — not stored in report JSON)

The dashboard assigns a persistent `mrf_key` to each MRF so that all validation runs of the same file are grouped together in the score-history view, even if the payer re-exports the file at a new URL.

Tier 1 — entity + plan_id	Used when both <code>reporting_entity_name</code> and <code>plan_id</code> are present. Key input: <code>plan <entity> <plan_id_type> <plan_id></code> . Stable across monthly re-exports.
Tier 2 — URL hash	Fallback when <code>plan_id</code> is absent. Key input: the raw file location URL/path. Entity name alone is not used — a payer publishes multiple distinct plans under the same entity name and without <code>plan_id</code> they cannot be safely distinguished. A URL change produces a different key.

The key is a 16-character MD5 hex digest of the input string (case-insensitive, whitespace-stripped). **This run:** `mrf_key = ab53b34889c08959 · entity = Ohio Health Choice · tier = 2 (URL hash)`

Provider Geographic Coverage

(supplemental — does not affect score)

Geographic analysis is a supplemental feature computed on demand after scoring completes. It does not affect any scoring dimension — it is an observational overlay to assess the breadth and distribution of in-network providers.

NPPES	CMS National Plan and Provider Enumeration System — monthly full-replacement CSV. Maps each NPI to its primary registered ZIP code.
ZCTA centroids	GeoNames US postal code file. Maps each 5-digit ZIP to a (latitude, longitude) centroid for map placement.

Process: Extract all NPIs from the MRF file → resolve each NPI to its primary practice ZIP via NPPES → aggregate provider count per ZIP → map each ZIP to a lat/lon centroid via ZCTA → render as a weighted heatmap (intensity ∝ provider count per ZIP).

Limitations: NPIs absent from NPPES (recently issued, test NPIs, EINs) are excluded and reduce the geocoding match rate. Location reflects the provider's NPPES-registered primary address, not necessarily where they accept this specific plan. Map viewport covers the bounding box of ZIP codes representing 90% of total provider count, dropping sparse geographic outliers.